

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,187	11/27/2001	Laurence McCarthy	22510-501 3934	
35893 75	10/02/2003	•	EXAM	INER
GREENBURG TRAURIG, LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			HILL, MYRON G	
3RD FLOOR	ATIONAL PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02110			1648	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	
•				
			1	ζ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/996,187	MCCARTHY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Myron G. Hill	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty. (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1- 34</u> is/are pending in the application	n ·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1- 34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32- 34</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1- 31</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/996,187

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 32- 34 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being verbatim duplicates of claims 26, 30, and 31, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The claims are identical and are not being considered in the restriction.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 20 and 21, drawn to a method of producing and evaluating a bioactive molecule that assays for enzyme activity, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- II. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a method of producing and evaluating a bioactive molecule that assays for affinity of compound for bioactive molecule, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
- III. Claims 24 and 25, drawn to a method of producing and evaluating a bioactive molecule that assays for structure of bioactive molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 5.
- IV. Claim 31, drawn to a method of producing and evaluating a bioactive molecule that requires starting with a bioactive molecule that has

Application/Control Number: 09/996,187

Art Unit: 1648

resisitance to a first compound and detecting phenotype in presence or absence of a second compound, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

Claim 1 is a linking claim.

Claims 7- 18, 26- 8, and 30 are generic to the linking claim.

Claims 2- 6, and 29 recite structures of bioactive molecules.

Claim 19 recites classes of compounds to use in the assay.

Applicant is required to elect one inventive method from groups I- III and IV.

If Applicant elects I- IV then they must further elect one bioactive molecule from claims 2- 6 and/or one pair of primers from claim 29 as well as one compound from claim 19 for examination to complete the method.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I,II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions use different method steps in the characterization of phenotype in the presence of one compound or requires resistance to one compound and assays a second compound.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have non-

Application/Control Number: 09/996,187

Art Unit: 1648

coextensive search requirements, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: as discussed above relating to claims 2- 6 and 29 and 19..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 7- 18, 26- 8, and 30 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/996,187

Art Unit: 1648

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4247. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner September 28, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600